

REPORT OF THE PLANNING, RESOURCES AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE
TO THE COUNTY BOARD ON A HEARING FOR A PETITION TO AMEND
THE LA CROSSE COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE

TO THE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FOR LA CROSSE COUNTY:

The La Crosse County Planning, Resources and Development Committee, having considered Petition No. 2022 to amend the La Crosse County Zoning Ordinance filed by:

DONALD D, ANN M, AND JOHN A TEMP,
N424 TEMP RD, COON VALLEY, WI, 54623

and having held a public hearing on the 3rd day of June 2019 for an after-the-fact petition to rezone from the GENERAL AGRICULTURE DISTRICT to the COMMERCIAL DISTRICT for a prior holding tank installation, a 0.17 acre parcel located in the Town of Greenfield and described as follows:

Part of the NE/NE of Section 29, T15N, R6W. Tax parcel 6-684-3. Town of Greenfield.

And pursuant to s. 59.69 Wis. Stats.: the Committee did publish and receive proof of a Class II notice of the hearing; did receive receipts of mailing of the notices to the affected Town Clerk(s); did hold a public hearing to hear testimony and official correspondence; and, did receive and consider action from the affected Town Board(s). The Committee, under s. 59.69(5)(e)4, and under s. 91.48(1), Wis. Stats., has the authority to approve the petition as submitted; to disapprove of the petition; or, to modify and approve the petition. Having considered the entire record the Committee's recommendation is to:

By a vote of seven (7) in favor, and zero (0) no, the committee voted to approve Zoning Petition No. 2022 subject to no conditions.


(IF THIS PETITION IS APPROVED AS A CONDITIONAL ZONING, DEED RESTRICTIONS MUST BE RECORDED BEFORE ZONING TAKES EFFECT.)

Dated this 6th day of June, 2019

ZONING, PLANNING AND LAND INFORMATION DEPARTMENT

BY 
Nate Sampson – Zoning Director

LA CROSSE COUNTY PLANNING, RESOURCES AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

BY 
Rick Cornforth – Chair

The County Board, under s.59.69(5)(e)5 Wis. Stats., has the authority to effectuate the petition by ordinance or to disapprove it. The County Board took the following action this 20th day of June, 2019

Approved the petition as submitted, becomes an ordinance.

Approved the petition with amendments/conditions, _____ becomes an ordinance, after recording conditions.


Denied the petition, _____ (no ordinance is adopted)



Denied the petition with amendments/conditions, _____ (no ordinance adopted)

Refused to deny the petition with re-referral, _____ (no ordinance adopted unless reported out with approval)

STATE OF WISCONSIN
COUNTY OF LA CROSSE

I, Ginny Dankmeyer, County Clerk of La Crosse County do hereby certify that this document is a true and correct copy of the original zoning ordinance required by law to be in my custody and which the County Board of Supervisors of La Crosse County approved at a meeting held on the 20th day of June 2019.


Ginny Dankmeyer, La Crosse County Clerk



ZONING PETITION NO. 2022 DONALD D, ANN M, AND JOHN A TEMP, N424 TEMP RD, COON VALLEY, WI, 54623, PETITIONS FOR AN AFTER-THE-FACT REZONE FROM THE GENERAL AGRICULTURE DISTRICT TO THE COMMERCIAL DISTRICT, A 0.17 ACRE PARCEL FOR A PRIOR HOLDING TANK INSTALLATION. PROPERTY DESCRIBED AS PART OF THE NE/NE OF SECTION 29, T15N, R6W. TAX PARCEL 6-684-3. TOWN OF GREENFIELD.

ZONING PETITION NO. 2022

DONALD D, ANN M & JOHN A TEMP
 PLAT OF SURVEY PREPARED BY COULEE REGION LAND SURVEYORS, LOCATED IN THE
 NE/NE SECTION 29, T 15 N, R 6 W

SOIL CLASS
 CLASS I-II = 100%

0.17 ACRES TO BE REZONED
 TOWN OF GREENFIELD

LAND CLASS
 WOODS = 100%

REASON FOR REZONE: EXPANSION OF A RESIDENTIAL USE

91.48 REZONING OF LAND OUT OF A FARMLAND PRESERVATION ZONING DISTRICT. (1) A political subdivision with a certified farmland preservation zoning ordinance may rezone land out of a farmland preservation zoning district without having the rezoning certified under s. 91.36, if the political subdivision finds all of the following, after public hearing: (a) The land is better suited for a use not allowed in the farmland preservation zoning district. (b) The rezoning is consistent with any applicable comprehensive plan. (c) The rezoning is substantially consistent with the county certified farmland preservation plan. (d) The rezoning will not substantially impair or limit current or future agricultural use of surrounding parcels of land that are zoned for or legally restricted to agricultural use.